

Tables

2.1	Overview of Mediterranean land cover change studies.	30
3.1	Generalised geological formations in the study area based on Berger (1981) and Alabouvette (1982).	37
3.2	Generalised soil types in the study area based on Bonfils (1993).	38
3.3	Available aerial photographs of the study area.	42
4.1	Time since abandonment, % cover in the study area and the abandonment rate per year (%).	48
5.1	Concise description of the classification techniques used in this study. * indicates that the technique can only be used with continuous data. ** indicates that data reduction (PCA analysis) of the prediction was necessary to make the technique work.	62
5.2	Description of the four analysis scenarios.	63
5.3	Overall accuracies for the 5 and 15-class classification schemes. Overall accuracies are calculated using 10-fold cross validation on the training data.* Indicates that the techniques only use continuous ancillary data in scenario 2 and 4 (table 5.2). ** Indicates that the technique produced missing values.	64
5.4	Confusion matrix of the 15 class Random Forests (RF) ASTER classification result with inclusion of ancillary data. Confusion matrix based on the validation data set.	65
5.5	User and producer accuracy for the individual classes for the 15 class Random Forests (RF) ASTER classification result and 15 class Random Forests ASTER classification result with inclusion of ancillary data. Accuracies based on the validation data set.	66
6.1	Classification accuracy for 7 classes (coniferous forest, maquis, garrigue, landes, bare soil, water and vineyard) using different methods.	76
6.2	Class composition of the 7, 10, 12 and 15 class classifications.	76
6.3	Overall accuracy and kappa values of the final SPARK classification. Source files are initial NDVI-land cover maps with indicated NDVI-classes. Highest values per kernel size are shown in bold.	77
6.4	User and producer accuracy of the individual classes for the 12-class maximum likelihood and 12-class SPARK classification.	78
6.5	Confusion matrix of the 12-class SPARK classification.	79
6.6	Average difference between producer and user accuracy of individual classes of the 12-class SPARK classification compared to the conventional 12-class maximum likelihood classification.	79
7.1	Radian cut off values, spectral membership values and factors used as ancillary data per class. Class numbers refer to the scheme in figure 7.1.	88
7.2	Operators for combining fuzzy membership functions.	90

7.3	User and producer accuracy for the individual classes for the 18 class MLH result and 18 class ADCM result.	92
7.4	Confusion matrix of the 18 Class ADCM classification with fuzzy gamma operator.	93
7.5	User and producer accuracy for the individual classes for the 12 class MLH result and 12 class ADCM result.	95
8.1	Model parameters and validation results for the 12 vegetation classes.	102
8.2	Confusion matrix of the model results for 2003 versus the field data set.	104